

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/743,178	12/23/2003	Li-Ming Cheng	12,569	7280
7590 09/15/2005			EXAMINER	
William W. Haefliger			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
Suite 512 201 So. Lake Ave.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pasadena, CA 91101			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/743,178	CHENG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Blair M. Johnson	3634
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. A reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠. Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.	·	•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• •	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	orgin priority under ee e.e.e.	3 110(a) (a) 01 (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
application from the International Bu	•	Ç
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.
·		
	•	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/23/03;6/10/05.	3/08) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office	Otiler	·—·
	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 091305

Application/Control Number: 10/743,178 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The guide roller movement in an axial direction is not adequately disclosed.

Claims 1-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are replete with errors too numerous to list individually. Examples are: claim 1- No antecedent basis for "said bottom elongated member"; "rotors or winders" is indefinite; "wind or engage" is indefinite; claim 2, "criss-crosses onto the second member (no antecedent basis) in the form of a drum" is vague and indefinite. "criss-crosses" not understood and it is not known what is "in the form of a drum", the criss-crossing line or the second member. Again, these are merely a few of the errors. The claims must be corrected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,178

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 1,2,4 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Toti '192.

In Figs. 50-52, see primary lines 16,17, primary rotors 19, secondary line 178, rotary members 176,178, and spring 26A,31A,41A. The term "criss-crosses" is met as best understood.

Claims 23-28,31,32,36,37 and 39-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gertzon.

See upper support 10, primary rotors 34,35, secondary line 17, "means" 14,16,21,22,23, rotary member 14. Spring 16 imparts tension to the cord.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-22 and 40-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gertzon in view of Kuhar '100.

Gertzon is relied upon as discussed above. Kuhar discloses a well known spring motor to wind the cords and to keep the shade in a desired position. It would have been obvious to provide Gertzon with such a counterbalance system so as to maintain the shade in the desired position.

Art Unit: 3634

### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over the claims of U. S. Patent No. 6,837,294 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: for example, claim 1 of the patent.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Art Unit: 3634

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 9/13/05